Mechanical Properties of Dental Zirconia Ceramics Changed with Sandblasting and Heat Treatment
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Two types of tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (TZP), a ceria-stabilized TZP/Al2O3 nanocomposite (CZA) and a conventional ytrria-stabilized TZP (Y-TZP), were sandblasted with 70-μm alumina and 125-μm SiC powders, then partially annealed at 500–1200°C for five minutes. Monoclinic ZrO2 content was determined by X-ray diffractometry and Raman spectroscopy. Biaxial flexure test was conducted on the specimens before and after the treatments. Monoclinic ZrO2 content and biaxial flexure strength increased after sandblasting, but decreased after heat treatment. However, in both cases, the strength of CZA was higher than that of Y-TZP. Raman spectroscopy showed that a compressive stress field was introduced on the sample surface after sandblasting. It was concluded that sandblasting induced tetragonal-to-monoclinic phase transformation and that the volume expansion associated with such a phase transformation gave rise to an increase in compressive stress on the surface of CZA. With the occurrence of such a strengthening mechanism in the microstructure, it was concluded that CZA was more susceptible to stress-induced transformation than Y-TZP.
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INTRODUCTION

The demand for metal-free restorations in dental practice has increased unabatedly because of two factors: strong esthetic demand and concern about metallic hypersensitivity1. To these delicate medical issues and challenges, zirconia is indicated as an optimal solution2-5). Tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (TZP), especially 3 mol% Y2O3-stabilized zirconia (3Y-TZP), has been used as a conventional material for medical and dental restorations. On the other hand, some researchers recently reported that a Ce-TZP/Al2O3 nanocomposite (CZA) not only exhibited higher strength, but might also have higher fracture toughness when compared with conventional Y-TZP4,5).

By virtue of the abovementioned beneficial properties, zirconia-based materials are employed as core materials for crowns and bridges in restorative dentistry. On zirconia-based frameworks for crown and bridge restorations, fabrication using the CAD/CAM system is a standard routine5,6).

After fabrication using CAD/CAM system and before veneering, one or more surface treatments are typically performed. In particular, sandblasting is an important treatment method to get a strong adhesion to veneering porcelain. However, mechanical stress is known to induce phase transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic ZrO2, subsequently resulting in compressive stress8,9,10). Therefore, heat treatment is recommended after sandblasting in dental practice17). The aim of this study, therefore, was to examine the effects of sandblasting and heat treatment on dental zirconia ceramics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Disk specimen preparation

As listed in Table 1, two types of TZP ceramics were used in this study. CZA powder was processed by cold isostatic pressing method into a cylindrical rod, 19.5 mm in diameter and 100 mm in length. After peeling of the rod surface and firing at 1450°C for two hours, disk-shaped specimens of two sizes, 14 mm in diameter/2 mm in thickness and 15 mm in diameter/0.5 mm in thickness, were prepared by cutting and grinding with a 400-grit diamond wheel.

Conventional Y-TZP was used for comparison in this study. Its powder was pressed using the same method. After firing at 1350°C for six hours, disk-shaped specimens of two sizes were prepared in the same manner as CZA.

Sandblasting and heat treatment

All disk specimens (ф 14 mm /t 2 mm) were ground with diamond papers (#220, #400, #600, and #1000), and subsequently heated at 1200°C...
for 10 minutes (Cerafusion VPF, Jelenko, MA, USA) to form homogeneous tetragonal ZrO₂. Disks were sandblasted by 70-μm alumina and 125-μm SiC powders for 10 and 90 seconds at 0.4 MPa air pressure at a direction perpendicular to the surface and at a distance 10 mm away (Hi-Blaster-II, Shofu, Kyoto, Japan). Part of the sandblasted specimens were heated at 500–1200°C for five minutes.

**Table 1** Materials used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Product Name (Manufacturer)</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Final Sintering</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CZA</td>
<td>NANOZR (Matsushita Electric Works)</td>
<td>10 mol% CeO₂-ZrO₂</td>
<td>145°C, 2 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 vol.% Al₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-TZP</td>
<td>TZ-3YB-E (Tosoh)</td>
<td>3 mol% Y₂O₃-ZrO₂</td>
<td>1350°C, 6 hr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Microscopic observation**

Microscopic observation was conducted on both types of zirconia using a scanning electron microscope (SEM; JSM-5510LV, JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). It was operated at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV to investigate the surface geometry. To characterize the microstructure, the surfaces of CZA and Y-TZP before surface treatments were thermally etched at 1300°C and 1200°C respectively for one hour. To observe the effects of surface treatments on CZA and Y-TZP surfaces, grinding and sandblasting were performed.

**Surface roughness measurement**

Surface roughness of the specimens was analyzed using a surface roughness tester (Surfcom 130A, Accretech, Tokyo, Japan). Six measurements were performed for each specimen according to ISO 4287:1997. The arithmetical mean deviation of the assessed profile (Ra) and the maximum height of profile (Rz) were measured under these conditions: cut-off value of 0.8 mm, measurement length of 5.0 mm, and measurement speed of 0.6 mm/s. Filtering of the measured data was conducted using a Gaussian filter.

**X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy analyses**

The amount of transformation which was induced by sandblasting and heat treatment was determined by measuring the peak intensity ratio in the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of disk-shaped specimens (φ 14 mm /t 2 mm) of both zirconia types (n=3 per group). XRD data were collected with a θ/2θ diffractometer (RINT-2500, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) using Cu-Kα = 1.54A radiation at 40 kV and 120 mA. Diffractograms were obtained from 20° to 40° at a scan speed of 1°/min. Monoclinic peak intensity ratio, \( X_m \), was calculated using the Garvie and Nicholson method\(^ {15} \) as follows:

\[
X_m = \frac{I_m(111) + I_m(111)}{I_m(111) + I_m(111) + I_m(101)}
\]  

where \( I_m \) and \( I_p \) represent the integrated intensity (area under the peaks) of the tetragonal (101) and monoclinic (111) and (-111) peaks around 30°, 31°, and 28° respectively. Monoclinic volume content, \( V_m \), was calculated using the method of Toraya et al.\(^ {16} \):

\[
V_m = \frac{1.311X_m}{1 + 0.311X_m}
\]

Raman spectra were collected with a triple monochromator spectrometer (T-64000, ISA Jovin-Ivon/Horiba Group, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a charge-coupled device detector (high-resolution CCD camera). In residual stress fields, the required laser power on the material surface was typically about 200 mW at the laser head. A suitable excitation frequency was a monochromatic blue line emitted by an Ar-ion laser at a wavelength of 488 nm. Spectral integration time was eight seconds, with the recorded spectra averaged over three successive measurements. Laser spot of 1 μm in diameter was always focused on the specimen surface. Raman peak positions were obtained by fitting the CCD raw data to mixed Gaussian/Lorentzian curves with a commercially available software.

CZA disk was placed on a mapping device (lateral resolution of 0.1 μm), which was connected to a personal computer to drive highly precise displacements (along both x and y axes) on the specimen surface with a confocal configuration. The monoclinic content of CZA phase, \( V_m \), contained in a partly transformed zone, could be quantitatively evaluated from the relative intensities of selected non-overlapping Raman bands, which belonged to the tetragonal phase at 150 cm\(^{-1}\) and to the monoclinic phase at 180 cm\(^{-1}\) and 190 cm\(^{-1}\). Monoclinic volume content, \( V_m \), was then calculated using a method of Katagiri et al.\(^ {20} \).
where \( I_a \) and \( I_m \) represent the intensities of bands identified by their apices. In addition, it is well known that Raman peak positions shift linearly with increasing stress. In view of this, the equilibrium stress \( \sigma_{eq} \) of CZA was calculated as follows:

\[
\sigma_{eq} = V_a \cdot \sigma_a + V_m \cdot \sigma_m = V_t \cdot \sigma_t
\]

(4)

where \( \sigma_a, \sigma_m, \) and \( \sigma_t \) are the magnitudes of residual stresses within alumina, zirconia, monoclinic zirconia and tetragonal zirconia phases, respectively. \( V_a, V_m, \) and \( V_t \) are the local volume contents of individual phases with alumina, zirconia, monoclinic zirconia and tetragonal zirconia phases, respectively. These detections were performed on the outermost surface and at a depth of 50 \( \mu m \) along the \( z \)-axis. More details on the experimental setup, calibration procedures, and stress calculations with Raman spectroscopy were as per described previously.

**Biaxial flexure test**

Six specimens (\( \phi 15mm \)/t 0.5 mm) with sandblasting and heat treatment were used for the biaxial flexure test using a piston-on-three-ball biaxial fixture according to ISO 6872:1995. Disk specimen was supported on three ball spheres (3.2 mm in diameter) equally spaced along a diameter of 10 mm and center-loaded by a steel piston (with a flat area of 1.1 mm in diameter ground along the contact surface) until fracture occurred at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min. Failure stress was calculated using the equation listed in ISO 6872 with Poisson’s ratio value of 0.25 for both materials:

\[
S = -0.2387 \frac{P(X - Y)}{d^2}
\]

(5)

where \( S \) is the maximum center tensile stress in MPa and \( P \) the total load causing fracture in N;

\[
X = (1 + \nu) \ln(r_1/r_3)^2 + [(1 - \nu)/2](r_2/r_3)^2
\]

(6)

\[
Y = (1 + \nu)[1 + \ln(r_1/r_2)] + (1 - \nu)(r_1/r_3)^2
\]

(7)

where \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio, \( r_1 \) the radius of support circle, \( r_2 \) the radius of loaded area, and \( r_3 \) the radius of specimen, and \( d \) the specimen thickness at fracture origin.

![Fig. 1 SEM images of zirconia surfaces with thermal etching.](image1)

![Fig. 2 SEM images of zirconia surfaces after grinding and sandblasting by alumina and SiC for 10 sec.](image2)

![Fig. 3 XRD patterns of zirconia after grinding, sandblasting by alumina and SiC for 10 sec., and heat treatment.](image3)

**RESULTS**

Figure 1 shows the SEM images of CZA and Y-TZP surfaces. CZA was composed of 10 mol% \( \text{CeO}_2 \)-stabilized TZP (white grains) as the matrix and 30 vol% of \( \text{Al}_2 \text{O}_3 \) (black grains) as the second phase. Y-TZP consisted of homogeneous grains of an average...
Table 2  Surface roughness values of zirconia after grinding and sandblasting by alumina and SiC for 10 and 90 seconds (mean±SD in μm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>CZA Ra</th>
<th>Rz</th>
<th>Y-TZP Ra</th>
<th>Rz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>grinding</td>
<td>0.33±0.12</td>
<td>1.90±0.89</td>
<td>0.30±0.04</td>
<td>1.62±0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>alumina-sand-10 s</td>
<td>0.60±0.15</td>
<td>2.87±0.45</td>
<td>0.47±0.09</td>
<td>2.68±0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>alumina-sand-90 s</td>
<td>0.56±0.06</td>
<td>4.31±0.63</td>
<td>0.68±0.08</td>
<td>4.61±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiC-sand-10 s</td>
<td>1.18±0.08</td>
<td>5.08±0.34</td>
<td>1.09±0.09</td>
<td>4.94±0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SiC-sand-90 s</td>
<td>0.96±0.11</td>
<td>6.12±0.53</td>
<td>0.84±0.06</td>
<td>5.40±0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4  Monoclinic ZrO₂ contents with sandblasting and heat treatment.

Fig. 5  Changes in monoclinic ZrO₂ contents with temperature of heat treatment.

size of 0.32 μm.

Figure 2 shows the SEM images of CZA and Y-TZP surfaces after grinding and sandblasting by alumina and SiC for 10 seconds. After grinding, many uniformly oriented scratches were observed on the surfaces of both zirconia types. With 70-μm alumina sandblasting, the surface altered to one covered with many grooves and voids, resulting in the disappearance of the scratches. With 125-μm SiC sandblasting, larger grooves and voids were observed on the surfaces of both zirconia types. Table 2 summarizes the surface roughness values of Ra and Rz for both zirconia types. It could be seen that for both zirconia types, sandblasting by SiC resulted in surface roughness values larger than those by alumina (p<0.01).

Figure 3 shows the XRD patterns of both zirconia types after grinding, sandblasting by alumina and SiC for 10 seconds, and heat treatment. In comparison to the XRD patterns after heat treatment, the diffraction peaks due to monoclinic ZrO₂ increased with grinding and both sandblasting methods. Conversely, the peaks for tetragonal ZrO₂ decreased and shifted to a lower degree.

Figure 4 shows the monoclinic ZrO₂ content derived from the XRD patterns using Eqs. (1) and (2). Between zirconia types, the monoclinic ZrO₂ content of CZA was larger than that of Y-TZP in each condition (p<0.01). Between sandblasting methods, the monoclinic ZrO₂ contents of both zirconia types after SiC sandblasting were larger than those with alumina sandblasting (p<0.01). Between sandblasting durations, the monoclinic ZrO₂ contents of both zirconia types were larger after being sandblasted for 90 seconds as compared to those of 10 seconds only (p<0.05). After heat treatment at 1200°C for five minutes, the monoclinic ZrO₂ contents of both zirconia types decreased dramatically.

Figure 5 shows the changes in monoclinic ZrO₂ content of both zirconia types after being sandblasted by alumina and SiC for 10 seconds as a function of heat treatment temperature. Monoclinic ZrO₂ content decreased gradually with increase in temperature and dropped to approximately 1% after 1200°C.

Figure 6 shows the Raman spectrum of CZA. Figure 7 shows the monoclinic ZrO₂ contents and the equilibrium stress values derived from Raman spectrogram using Eqs. (3) and (4) as a function of
After sandblasting, the mechanical properties of zirconia were strongly influenced by the monoclinic ZrO₂ content. Similar results with Y-TZP have been previously reported by various researchers. Swain et al. suggested that volume expansion due to phase transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic ZrO₂ induced compressive stresses (σ₃) in the surface, and a hypothetical equation as follows was proposed:

DISCUSSION

In light of the results obtained in this study, it could be concluded that the mechanical properties of zirconia were strongly influenced by the monoclinic ZrO₂ content. Similar results with Y-TZP have been previously reported by various researchers. Swain et al. suggested that volume expansion due to phase transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic ZrO₂ induced compressive stresses (σ₃) in the surface, and a hypothetical equation as follows was proposed:
where $\Delta V$ is volume expansion due to phase transformation at approximately 4%, $V_m$ the monoclinic content, $E$ the inherent elastic modulus of CZA or Y-TZP (240 and 210 GPa, respectively), and $\nu$ the Poisson's ratio (0.25 for both zirconia types).

Figure 10 shows the calculated results derived from the results of Fig. 4 using Eq. (8). This study revealed that, although the monoclinic ZrO$_2$ content after SiC sandblasting was larger than that after alumina sandblasting ($p<0.01$), the biaxial flexure strength after SiC sandblasting was lower than that after alumina sandblasting. Moreover, Raman analysis showed that the transformation zone depth [26] (TZD) in this study was determined to be approximately less than 10 $\mu$m. It could be assumed that excessive transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic ZrO$_2$ reduced the mechanical properties [27]. This effect might also influence the lifetime of zirconia [28,29]. To assess this hypothesis, further study is needed.

After sandblasting, CZA showed a higher content of transformed monoclinic ZrO$_2$ and higher biaxial flexure strength than Y-TZP. As shown in Fig. 1, CZA had an interpenetrated intragranular microstructure, where submicron-sized Al$_2$O$_3$ particles were dispersed among submicron-sized ZrO$_2$ grains. Several 10–100-nm Al$_2$O$_3$ particles were trapped within the ZrO$_2$ grains, and several 10-nm ZrO$_2$ particles were trapped within the Al$_2$O$_3$ grains. It was reported that the toughening and strengthening of ZrO$_2$-Al$_2$O$_3$ multiphase ceramics is mainly due to the dispersion of Al$_2$O$_3$ [30]. Indeed, the strengthening mechanism of CZA could be ascribed to two factors. The first was related to decrease in flaw size due to reduced grain sizes for both ZrO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ grains, which is associated with interpenetrated intragranular nanodispersion. Consequently, several 10–100-nm sized inclusions were believed to have a role in dividing a grain size into finer sized particles by forming sub-grain boundaries [31]. The second was related to the stress-induced transformation underlying the strengthening mechanism of Y-TZP ceramics. The retention of the tetragonal phase is critically governed by the grain size. In other words, reduced grain size is predicted to increase the critical stress that induces the tetragonal-monoclinic transformation. Taken together, these interactive contributions culminated in improved strength of CZA.

The biaxial flexure strengths of both zirconia types increased with increase in monoclinic ZrO$_2$ content (which was induced by sandblasting), but decreased with decrease in monoclinic ZrO$_2$ content (which was induced by heat treatment). This meant that the mechanical properties of zirconia were strongly affected by the stress-induced transformation. It is known that the stress-induced transformation of zirconia — *i.e.*, transformation from tetragonal to monoclinic phase — causes plastic deformation, thereby leading to high fracture toughness [32]. As shown in Fig. 7, the transformed zone was only 10 $\mu$m, although there was presence of the transformed monoclinic phase toward the interior in a decreasing trend. Thickness of the transformed layer mainly depends on the kind of sandblasting particles, but not on sandblasting time.

The fabrication of zirconia-based dental restorations entails a series of procedures including cutting, grinding, polishing, sandblasting, heat treatment after sandblasting, and firing for veneering porcelain [14]. Thus, it should be noted whether zirconia can maintain its mechanical properties even after these procedures. Against this background, restoratives made with zirconia should be designed based on the minimum strength, such as that yielded after heat treatment.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Investigation on surface and heat treatments in this study showed that CZA was more susceptible to stress-induced transformation than Y-TZP, and therefore showed higher biaxial flexure strength. This was probably due to their differences in microstructure. Monoclinic ZrO$_2$ content and biaxial flexure strength of CZA and Y-TZP increased with sandblasting, but decreased with heat treatment. Furthermore, the monoclinic ZrO$_2$ contents of both zirconia types after SiC sandblasting were larger than those after alumina sandblasting. Conversely, there were no significant differences in biaxial flexure.
strength between SiC sandblasting and alumina sandblasting.
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