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Abstract

Chronic inhalation of cigarette smoke is a major risk factor for the development of lung cancer. It has been suggested that genetic susceptibility may contribute to the risk, because only a small portion of smokers develops the disease. Several polymorphisms that involve the metabolic activation or detoxification of carcinogens derived from cigarette smoke have been found to be associated with lung cancer risk. Many studies have focused on the relation between the distribution of polymorphic variants of different forms of the metabolic enzymes and lung cancer susceptibility. In this respect two groups of genetic polymorphisms of enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism, cytochrome P450 (CYP) and glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), have been discussed. CYP multigene superfamily consists of 10 subfamilies (CYP1–CYP10). A positive association between development of lung cancer and the mutant homozygous genotype of CYP1A1 gene has been reported in several Japanese populations but such an association has not been observed in either Caucasians or African-Americans. The relation between CYP2D6 and lung cancer remains conflicting and inconclusive. Several polymorphisms have been identified at the CYP2E1 locus. No definitive link between the polymorphisms of CYP2E1 and the risk of lung cancer has, however, been identified. The role of other CYP2 isoforms in lung carcinogenesis has not been sufficiently investigated. GSTs form a superfamily of genes consisting of five distinct families, named GSTA, GSTM, GSTP, GSTT and GSTS. The role of GSTM, GSTT1 or GSTP1 polymorphism in modifying the lung cancer risk may be more limited than has been so far anticipated.

Although some genetic polymorphisms discussed here have not shown significant increases/decreases in risk, individuals with differing genotypes may have different susceptibilities to lung cancer. Hopefully, in future studies it will be possible to screen for lung cancer using specific biomarkers.
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Introduction

Lung cancer mortality has been increasing rapidly in recent years in Japan and has exceeded that of stomach cancer in male Japanese (1). Although chronic inhalation of cigarette smoke is a major risk factor for the development of lung cancer, it appears important to examine genetic susceptibility to the disease.

Cigarette smoke contains several thousand chemicals, of which about 50 compounds are known carcinogens, including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), aromatic amines and N-nitroso compounds. Some of these compounds are reactive carcinogens, but most are procarcinogens, which need to be activated by phase I enzymes such as those encoded by the cytochrome P450 (CYP) supergene family, and converted into reactive carcinogens. All these reactive carcinogens can bind to DNA and form DNA adducts capable of inducing mutations and initiating carcinogenesis. CYPs are a multigene superfamily of mixed function monooxygenases. Based on sequence homology, the CYP superfamily is divided into 10 subfamilies, CYP1–CYP10. Subfamilies CYP1, CYP2, CYP3 and CYP4 are primarily involved in drug metabolism (2).

Following phase I reaction, phase II enzymes such as glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) are responsible for detoxification of activated forms PAH epoxides. GSTs are constitutively found in a wide variety of tissues, with different characteristic patterns of GST isozymes. GSTs also form a superfamily of genes consisting of five distinct families, named alpha, mu, pi, theta and
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Table 1  Selected human xenobiotic-metabolizing CYP isoforms and examples of human tissue and carcinogens in tobacco smoke activated by them (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>CYP1A1</th>
<th>CYP2D6</th>
<th>CYP2E1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal location</td>
<td>15q22-pter</td>
<td>22q13.1</td>
<td>10q24.3-pter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue expression</td>
<td>Lung, skin, placenta, lymphocyte</td>
<td>Lung, liver, intestine, BP, other PAHs</td>
<td>Lung, liver, brain, kidney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinogen substrates in tobacco smoke</td>
<td>BP, other PAHs</td>
<td>NNK</td>
<td>NNK, NNN, N-nitrosodimethylamine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP: benzo(a)pyrene
NNK: 4-(methylamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol.
NIT: N-nitrosodimethylamine.

Table 2  Selected human xenobiotic-metabolizing GST isoforms and examples of human tissue and carcinogens in tobacco smoke inactivated by them (5–7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>GSTM1</th>
<th>GSTT1</th>
<th>GSTP1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chromosomal location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue expression*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung, prostate, breast, lymphocytes, color, small intestine, esophagus, stomach, thymus, heart, skeletal muscle, kidney, spleen, testis, uterus, aorta, adrenal gland, brain</td>
<td>1p13.3</td>
<td>22q11.23</td>
<td>11q13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung, liver, erythrocytes, brain, kidney, small intestine, skeletal muscle, spleen, heart</td>
<td>Lung, placenta, esophagus, bladder, breast, skin, colon, oral cavity, stomach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPDE: benzo(a)pyrene diol epoxide.
GSTs are constitutively found at high levels in a wide variety of tissues with different characteristic patterns of GST isozyme expression.

Table 3  Clusters of the CYP1A1 polymorphism in Japanese population (21, 22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymorphism</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Msp 1</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Msp 2</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sigma (3, 4). The major isoforms, which involve the metabolic activation of carcinogens derived from tobacco smoke or detoxification of those activated carcinogens, are listed in Tables 1 (2) and 2 (5–7).

Since advances in molecular biology have allowed many allelic variants of several drug-metabolizing enzymes to be characterized at the molecular level, specific nucleotide changes have been identified as the basis for altered protein structure and/or function. Therefore, the high risk genotype of an individual could be determined easily. The existence of multiple alleles at loci of those enzymes may result in differential susceptibility of individuals (8). Since genetic polymorphisms have been found for both phase I and phase II enzymes, risk assessment could be increased in sensitivity if polymorphisms in both phases of enzymes are taken into consideration as biomarkers for susceptibility to cancer. It is likely that an individual with the high risk genotype (either a genotype coding for a more active phase I enzyme or a less efficient phase II enzyme, or both of those) might be at higher risk of cancer than that with the opposite genotype (combination).

Current molecular epidemiologic evidence for postulated genetic susceptibility may be determined by the interaction of genetic host factors and cigarette smoking. In this study, we examined the relation between genetic polymorphisms of enzymes involved in xenobiotic metabolism and lung carcinogenesis, with special emphasis on the most studied isoforms CYP1A1, CYP2, GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1.

1. CYP1A1 polymorphisms

Human CYP1A1 gene locus has two distinct members, CYP1A1, which is predominantly expressed in extrahepatic tissues, including the lung, lymphocytes and placenta, and the liver specific CYP1A2. Substrates for and inducers of CYP1A1 include PAHs such as benzo(a)pyrene (BP), whereas CYP1A2 is active in the metabolism of aromatic amines. Cancer risk associated with PAHs is mediated in part by arylhydrocarbon hydroxylase (AHH), which is used as an indicator of the phenotype of CYP1A1. Since AHH is responsible for the activation of PAHs in cigarette smoke, it may be important for the causation of lung cancer (2).

To date, four genetic polymorphisms have been described in human CYP1A1 gene (9–12). The polymorphism detected first, which was ascribed to the presence (CYP1A1*2 allele) or absence (wild-type CYP1A1*1 allele) of the Msp 1 site, was a thymine to cytosine transition 1197 bp downstream of exon 7 (3801T->3801C) (9). The second Ile-Val polymorphism occurred in the heme-binding region (Ile462Val, 2455A->2455G) (10). The third polymorphism results from a single base change adenine to guanine in the 3' noncoding region approximately 300 bp upstream of the polyadenylation site (3205T->3205C, CYP1A1*4 allele) (11). This mutation leads to cleavage of the normal 2.3 kb Msp 1 restriction fragment into 1.3 and 1.0 kb fragments. This race-specific polymorphism has been found in African-Americans but not in Caucasians and Asians. A novel mutation (CYP1A1*5) was 2 bases upstream of the Ile-Val polymorphism (Thr461Asn, 2453C->2453A) (12).

The Msp 1 polymorphism can be classified into 3 genotypes, predominant homozygous alleles (genotype A), heterozygote (genotype B) and homozygous rare alleles (genotype C) (9). The genotype C is closely related to high inducible CYP1A1 phenotypic activity (13). An overrepresentation of genotype C was observed in lung cancer patients compared with controls in Asian populations (14–17) but was not observed in non-Asian populations (18–28) (Table 3). This might be sparsity of the allele in Caucasians. For example, the frequency of genotype C allele is 0.33 in Japanese population (14), and less than 0.10 in Caucasian populations (18–20). Individuals with genotype C are most common among Chinese (16, 29) and Japanese (14, 15, 30) (10%) and least common among Caucasians (0–4%) with African-Americans (20, 27, 28) and Koreans (5–6%) (17, 31) intermediate between these groups. Similarly, the Val/Val genotype in Ile-Val polymorphism results in a reduced catalytic enzyme activity (13) and was shown to be associated with lung cancer in a Japanese population (10, 32). The Ile-Val polymorphism was less common than the Msp 1 polymorphism (10, 19, 21, 30). This polymorphism is closely linked to Msp 1 polymorphism not only in a Japanese population (10) but also in Northern Europeans (18).

As for the third polymorphism in the CYP1A1 gene, no linkage was observed with either the Msp 1 or Ile-Val polymorphism. In African-Americans, the frequency of heterozygotes was 15.2–19.4% in controls and the homozygous variant was rare even in cases (10, 33–35). This race specific polymorphism has been associated with an increased risk of adenocarcinoma (35) whereas...
Table 3 Distribution of the CYPIA1 genotype (Msp I polymorphism) in different populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, published year (reference number)</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Genotype C (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tefre et al., 1991 (18)</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>221 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakachi et al., 1991 (14)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>151 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvon et al., 1992 (19)</td>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>87 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shields et al., 1993 (20)</td>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>28 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>European-American</td>
<td>28 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandire et al., 1994 (21)</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>296 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drakoulis et al., 1994 (22)</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>142 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugimura et al., 1994 (26)</td>
<td>Non-blacks (Brazilian)</td>
<td>88 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blacks (Brazilian)</td>
<td>22 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kihara et al., 1995 (30)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>97 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaquet et al., 1996 (23)</td>
<td>Belgian</td>
<td>44 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bouchardy et al., 1997 (24)</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>150 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Closas et al., 1997 (25)</td>
<td>American*</td>
<td>416 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ishibe et al., 1997 (27)</td>
<td>Mexican-American</td>
<td>62 (16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>109 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuilo et al., 1998 (28)</td>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>105 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong et al., 1998 (31)</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>85 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiyohara et al., 1998 (15)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>108 (24.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al., 1999 (29)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>76 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 1999 (17)</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>17 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin et al., 2000 (16)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>132 (21.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mostly Caucasian-American.

other studies (33, 36) did not confirm the report. As suggested by Talioi et al. (34, 35), the third restriction length fragment polymorphism (RFLP), which might be a useful tool to identify African-Americans at increased risk for lung cancer, does not appear to hold promise. The fourth Msp I RFLP may not represent a susceptibility factor for lung cancer (12).

The interindividual difference in genetically determined susceptibility to squamous cell carcinoma of the lung has been investigated in relation to cigarette smoking dose (14). The total amount of cigarettes consumed over ones lifetime was compared among three genotypes in the first Msp I polymorphism. The patients with genotype C contracted lung cancer after fewer cigarettes than those with other genotypes. Individuals with genotype C were at significantly higher risk with an odds ratio (OR) of 7.31 (95% confidence interval (CI)=2.13-25.12) at a low dose level of cigarette smoking and the difference in susceptibility between genotypes was reduced at high doses (14).

Recently, a meta-analysis of published studies was undertaken by Houlston to clarify the effect of variation within the CYPIA1 gene defined by the Msp I and Ile-Val polymorphisms (37). The ORs for the genotypes C and Val/Val were found to be 1.27 (95% CI=0.91-1.77) and 1.62 (95% CI=0.93-2.82), respectively. His results have provided little support for the role of those polymorphisms in the development of lung cancer. As stated earlier, the frequency of both Msp I and Ile-Val polymorphisms varies among ethnic groups. Therefore, the role of the CYPIA1 gene as a risk factor for lung cancer may vary among ethnic groups.

CYPIA1 polymorphisms are attractive with respect to biologically plausible susceptibility factors. The findings in the Japanese population studies to date reveal high risks of lung cancer for the CYPIA1-Msp I polymorphism. However, direct applicability to screening strategies in lung cancer in Japan awaits further studies.

2. CYP2D6 polymorphism

More than 30 commonly prescribed drugs are substrates for CYP2D6, including those that act on the cardiovascular and central nervous system. This major polymorphism that inactivates the CYP2D6 gene leads to profound changes in drug pharmacokinetics and can therefore have severe clinical consequences, leading to marked drug side effects and even, in extreme cases, death (38).

Phenotyping analysis is carried out by administration of the probe drug debrisoquine and subsequent urine analysis at 8-hour intervals to determine the ratio between the parent compound debrisoquine and the primary metabolite 4-hydroxydebrisoquine. This ratio varies 10,000-fold in the Caucasian population (39).

A number of alleles have now been characterized at the CYP2D6 locus. The phenotypic consequence of many of these allelic variants remains to be established. The first genetic polymorphism in the metabolism of drugs associated with the expression of CYP2D6 in humans was 4-hydroxylation of the antihyperglycemic drug debrisoquine (40). The genetic polymorphism of the CYP2D6 is an autosomal recessive trait (41) expressed in three major phenotypes, commonly termed ultra-rapid metabolizers (UM), extensive metabolizers (EM) and poor metabolizers (PM) (42, 43). A minority of individuals have been shown to inherit multiple copies of the wild-type CYP2D6 gene (44). These UM require doses of medication that vastly exceed the normal range in order to achieve a therapeutic effect (45). The interindividual variations in the activity of CYP2D6 are closely linked to mutant alleles encoding the CYP2D6 gene (46). Inactivating mutations at the CYP2D6 gene are CYP2D6*3 (deletion of A2549 in exon 5), CYP2D6*4 (1846C->1846A at splicing site), CYP2D6*5 (complete deletion of the wild-type allele CYP2D6*1), CYP2D6*6A (deletion of T1707 in exon 3) and CYP2D6*16 (a hybrid of S'-CYP2D7/CYP2D6*3' gene with breakpoints between the end of intron 7 and the start of exon 9 for both genes involved) while decreased enzyme activity is seen with CYP2D6*9 (deletion of Lys281) and CYP2D6*10A (Pro34Ser, 100C->100T in exon 1 and Ser486Thr, 4180G->4180C in exon 9). Carriers with one wild-type allele and one inactivating allele are predicted as HEM (47). The CYP2D6*3, CYP2D6*4 and CYP2D6*5 alleles account for the majority (greater than 90%) of the PM phenotype (46). The phenotype-genotype concordance, which predicts the metabolic phenotype by genetic analyses, was found to be between 93.4% and 100% (48).

The genetically determined capacity of the CYP2D6 is suspected to be involved in the activation of tobacco-specific nitrosamines, such as 4-(methyl-nitrosamo)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butane (NNK). Interindividual differences in the metabolic capacity of the CYP2D6 may be expected to be a key factor in susceptibility to develop several types of cancers where environmental chemicals are implicated (49, 50). There have been a series of studies on the association of a genetic polymorphism at the CYP2D6 gene with lung cancer susceptibility (Table 4). All case-control studies have been performed in Caucasians with the exception of the study of London et al. (51), which was performed in African-Americans. Among controls, Caucasian populations have a PM frequency of between 6-10% (48, 51-62), whereas African-Americans have only a 3% frequency (62) and Asians less than 1% (63, 64).

A meta-analysis of the published findings as of 1992 has demonstrated an overall OR of 0.43 for lung cancer patients with the PM genotype (65). Among the studies in shown in Table 4, the
PM genotype determined by the presence of the inactivating allele was not significantly associated with decreased (48, 52–55, 62) or increased (57, 58, 60, 61) risk of lung cancer. Many of these studies have been contradictory either because of the small numbers of patients studied or because of the limitations and controversy surrounding the pharmacogenetic assay used to identify the affected individuals. For the CYP2D6*9 allele, Agundez et al. (56) reported that the CYP2D6*9 mutant allele was 6-fold more frequent among lung cancer patients than controls. However, Legrand et al. (62) reported that the CYP2D6*9 allele was present in 4.9% of controls and 6% of lung cancer patients and that this mutant allele might not be an risk factor for lung cancer in a French population.

The effect of tobacco smoking on lung cancer risk might rise with increasing CYP2D6 activity, and the effect of CYP2D6 activity might rise with increasing tobacco consumption. The significant interaction between CYP2D6 activity and smoking dose was observed (66). Among individuals with high CYP2D6 activity, heavy smokers showed a 5-fold increased risk compared with light smokers.

The relation between the CYP2D6 polymorphism and lung cancer remains conflicting and inconclusive. There is some biological plausibility to more efficient activation of N-nitroso compounds such as NNK in tobacco smoke by the EM genotype, but the absence of any reports of that activity in the lung as the target organ is problematic for the CYP2D6-lung cancer hypothesis. While there is a possible molecular epidemiologic association between the prevalence of the EM genotype and lung cancer, the association, if it exists, may be weak.

3. CYP2E1 polymorphism

CYP2E1 is involved in metabolic activation of carcinogenic tobacco-specific N-nitrosoamines (including N-nitrosodialkylamine (NDMA), N-nitrosoethylene, N-nitropyridoline), aniline, benzene and other low-molecular-weight compounds. The CYP2E1 is constitutively expressed in liver and can also be induced by chemicals such as ethanol and acetone in humans (67). Protein levels and catalytic activities of CYP2E1 have been shown to vary among individuals (68, 69). Although the genetic basis of such differences has not been fully clarified, RFLPs in CYP2E1 gene by Dra I (intron 6) (70, 71), Rsa I (intron 5) (71) and Rsa I Vsp I (5'-flanking region) (72, 73), and Taq I (intron 7) (74) have been reported.

The relation between the CYP2E1 Dra I polymorphism and lung cancer was first reported in a Japanese population by Uematsu et al. (71). The Dra I RFLP is caused by a base pair change (CYP2E1*6 allele, 7632T->7632A) in intron 6 of the CYP2E1 gene. They divided the Dra I RFLP polymorphism into three genotypes; heterozygotes (CD) and two forms of homozygotes (CC and DD). Since this polymorphism is caused by a base pair change of the CYP2E1 gene, it is unlikely that this change directly affects the variability of the gene expression. Although it could be a marker in linkage disequilibrium with an active site, its effect on the CYP2E1 phenotype is still unclear (72). Racial variation in the frequency of this polymorphism has been reported (Table 5). A rare allele (C) frequency for Asians (29, 75) was somewhat higher than that for Caucasians. In a Japanese population, the distribution of Dra I genotypes in lung cancer patients was significantly different from that of healthy controls (71). The frequency of genotype CD in lung cancer patients was higher than in controls, while the genotypes CC and DD were lower. In Finnish (76) and Swedish (77) populations, there is a much lower allele frequency for C in controls (0.09) and no association between lung cancer risk and Dra I polymorphism was seen. There is no clear evidence that this polymorphism is related to lung cancer risk.

The polymorphism in the 5' flanking region at –1053 bp (C=>T) is found to be in linkage with another point mutation at –1293 bp (G=>C) (72, 73, 77, 78). The Rsa I Vsp I RFLP has two alleles c1 (wild-type CYP2E1*1 allele) and c2 (CYP2E1*3 allele). The racial differences in allelic frequency have been reported by Kato et al. (78). Kato et al. (78) reported that the allelic frequencies of this polymorphism were markedly different in Japanese, African-Americans, and Caucasians. The Rsa I rare allele c2 was present at a frequency of 0.02 in Caucasians, 0.02 in African-Americans and 0.27 in Japanese (P<0.05). Stephens et al. (79) also reported the ethnic-differences in allelic frequency of Rsa I RFLP. A rare c2 allele frequency for Taiwanese, African-Americans and European-Americans was 0.28, 0.01 and 0.04, respectively. High frequencies of the c2 and C alleles in the CYP2E1 gene have consistently been found in several Asian populations (29, 75, 80, 81), in contrast to other ethnic groups (77, 82). This polymorphism.

**Table 4 Distribution of the CYP2D6 genotype in different populations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, published year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>PM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(reference number)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugimura et al., 1990</td>
<td>American*</td>
<td>45 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roots et al., 1992</td>
<td>German</td>
<td>109 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolf et al., 1992</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>361 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvonen et al., 1993</td>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>106 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agundez et al., 1994</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>89 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tife et al., 1994</td>
<td>Norwegian</td>
<td>209 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rannu et al., 1995</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>84 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doi et al., 1995</td>
<td>Slovene</td>
<td>200 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stricker et al., 1995</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>239 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legrand et al., 1996</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>249 (6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Londono et al., 1997</td>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>158 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw et al., 1998</td>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>98 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laforest et al., 2000</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>148 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Mixed population: 92.1% of controls and 43.2% of patients are European-American, and the remainder is African-American.

**: Determined by the presence of CYP2D6*9 allele.

**Table 5 Distribution of the CYP2E1 genotype in different populations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, published year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Mutant genotype (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(reference number)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dra I polymorphism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uematsu et al., 1991</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>47 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvonen et al., 1993</td>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>101 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al., 1993</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>193 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al., 1998</td>
<td>Mexican-American</td>
<td>45 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al., 1999</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>76 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 1999</td>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>119 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rsa I polymorphism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al., 1993</td>
<td>Swedish</td>
<td>184 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watanabe et al., 1995</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>316 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyama et al., 1997</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>126 (5.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persson et al., 1999</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>76 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al., 1999</td>
<td>Taiwanese</td>
<td>119 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Non-small cell lung carcinoma.
phism might be functionally important because it is located at a putative binding site for the transcription factor HNF-1 and has been associated with higher levels of CYP2E1 transcription. Recently, an increase in transcriptional activity, mRNA and protein expression in vitro was observed in the c2/c2 genotype (83). It is conceivable that the CYP2E1 Rsa I/Pst I polymorphism might contribute to differences in susceptibility to lung cancer. The c2 rare allele has been demonstrated to be less common among Swedish lung cancer patients (77) and this difference is more pronounced when adenocarcinoma patients are excluded from the cancer group. In the Finnish study, Hirvonen et al. (76) failed to show any association of this polymorphism with lung cancer. London et al. (84) suggested that the rare c2 allele was not associated with an increased risk of lung cancer. This polymorphism did not also affect lung cancer risk in one Japanese population (80) whereas the c2/c2 genotype was associated with an increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma (OR=2.45, 95% CI=0.92–6.48) in another Japanese population (81). Wu et al. (85) reported that individuals who lack a c2 allele might be at higher risk for developing lung cancer in Mexican-Americans, but not in African-Americans. Using the combined genotypes c1/c2 and c2/ c2 as the reference, the OR for c1/c1 genotype was 14.0 (95% CI=1.9–101.5) among Mexican-Americans. Moreover, they suggested a greater than multiplicative interaction between cigarette smoking and the CYP2E1 c1/c1 genotype by stratified analysis. The OR for the c1/c1 genotype and pack-years of smoking (=30 vs. 0, dichotomized categories) was 45.8 (95% CI=5.7–368.7) in the overall population. In that case, the interaction term was not statistically significant probably due to the small sample size that also resulted in the wide CI. A major reason for this disagreement might be an ethnic difference in allelic frequency of the polymorphism and the small sample size. Larger studies might be necessary to rule out a modest association between the CYP2E1 Rsa I polymorphism and lung cancer risk.

Given the low gene frequency in the European- and African-American population studies to date and the relatively modest ORs associated with these polymorphisms, demonstration of a CYP2E1-lung cancer relation would require case and control groups of greater than 1,000 subjects each. These study magnitudes have not been reported in the molecular epidemiology literature as it relates to lung cancer. The low estimates of attributable risk imply that the CYP2E1 polymorphisms at this time must be considered unlikely as strong determinants of lung cancer risk in the Caucasian and African-American populations. In addition, since all polymorphisms are located in non-coding regions of CYP2E1, a novel polymorphism(s) in CYP2E1 would be a promising tool for the detection of the genetic susceptibility to lung cancer.

4. GST polymorphisms

Following the phase I reaction, phase II enzymes such as glutathione S-transferases are responsible for detoxification of activated forms of PAH epoxides. The GSTs activities are inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion (86) and a 100- to 200-fold difference in cytosolic GSTs in lymphocytes from healthy subjects (87, 88). GST genes form a superfamily of at least 13 genes consisting of five distinct families, named alpha (GSTA), sigma (GSTS), mu (GSTM), pi (GSTP) and theta (GSTT). Certain genes within the GSTM, GSTT and GSTP subfamilies (GSTMI, GSTTI and GSTPI) are polymorphic in humans and the levels of individual enzymes expressed can be influenced by induction and by genetic polymorphism. Since these polymorphisms are considered in terms of risk from certain potentially carcinogenic chemicals, they are currently being investigated as possible cancer risk modifiers. The phenotypic absence of GSTM1 and GSTTI1 activity is due to homozygosity for deletion of these genes, termed the null genotype (6, 86). Genetic polymorphism at the GSTPI locus results from a single base pair substitution in exon 5 (Ile104Val, 313A=>313G) and exon 6 (Ala113Val, 341C=>341T) (89). In vitro cDNA expression study suggests that these amino acid substitutions reduce enzyme activity (90). For GSTA genes, one study has reported an RFLP in GSTA2 (91) but whether there is a genetic basis for this variation and its implications is unclear. Less is known about the association between GSTA2 and newly found GSTS genes, and lung cancer risk. Most interest in the possible consequences of GSTs polymorphisms has therefore focused on the polymorphisms at the GSTM1, GSTTI and GSTPI gene loci. Table 6 summarizes the frequencies of homozygous deletions in GSTM and GSTTI, and homozygotes for the mutant allele of GSTPI. The homozygous deletion of the GSTM1 gene was shown to occur in approximately 50% of the population of various ethnic origins (8) while the homozygous deletion of the GSTTI gene is distributed at between 10% and 64% of various ethnic groups (8). The frequency of the GSTTI null genotype in Caucasian populations is 30% or less but the null type genotype frequency in Asian populations may be similar to that of GSTM1. Individuals homozygous for the 105 valine allele (the mutant allele) are most common among African-Americans (19%) and least common

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, published year</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Mutant genotype (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(reference number)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSTMI deletion polymorphism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McWilliams et al.,1995 (93)</td>
<td>12 case-control studies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houlston, 1999 (94)</td>
<td>19 case-control studies</td>
<td>(the studies based on genotyping methods)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GSTS deletion polymorphism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deakin et al., 1996 (105)</td>
<td>British</td>
<td>108 (15.7) 509 (18.5)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelsey et al., 1997 (106)</td>
<td>Mexican-American</td>
<td>60 (16.7) 146 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To-Figuera et al., 1997 (107)</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>108 (25.0) 132 (22.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jourenkovava et al., 1997 (108)</td>
<td>French</td>
<td>150 (18.0) 172 (15.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saarikoski et al., 1998 (109)</td>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>208 (12.7) 294 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lan et al., 2000 (101)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>122 (59.8) 122 (52.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiyohara et al., 2000 (102)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>86 (54.6) 88 (44.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spitz et al., 2000 (103)</td>
<td>European-American</td>
<td>484 (27.3) 458 (22.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London et al., 2000 (104)</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>232 (51.8) 710 (60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTPI Ile-VaI polymorphism (exon 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris et al., 1998 (111)</td>
<td>Australian</td>
<td>178 (14.6) 199 (9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saarikoski et al., 1998 (109)</td>
<td>Finnish</td>
<td>206 (8.3) 294 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To-Figuera et al., 1999 (112)</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>164 (10.4) 200 (10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katoh et al., 1999 (113)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>47 (0.0) 122 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kihara et al., 1999 (114)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>382 (4.7) 257 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiyohara et al., 2000 (102)</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>86 (2.3) 88 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Published after 1999.
* Hospital controls.
among Japanese (0–3.1%) with Caucasians (6.5–11.7%) intermediate between these groups (92).

The association between GSTM1 polymorphism and lung cancer risk has been controversial in the published literatures. The reason for the discrepancies in the GSTM1 findings is unclear. One problem may be the relatively weak influence of any one genotype on disease risk and the unequal distribution of confounding factors such as polymorphisms at other relevant loci in cases and controls. Differences in exposure to carcinogens such as cigarette smoke are also likely to be important with the effects of such variables exaggerated in studies of small numbers of subjects.

McWilliams et al. (93) combined 12 case-control studies and determined lung cancer risk. They concluded that GSTM1 deficiency is a moderate risk factor for lung cancer development with an OR of 1.41 (95% CI = 1.23–1.61) using Mantel-Haenszel methods for stratified data. The association appeared stronger for Japanese (OR = 1.6, 95% CI = 1.2–2.1) than for Caucasians (OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 1.0–1.4). The studies, which determined GSTM1 status by phenotyping (3 studies) or genotyping (8 studies) yielded a summary OR of 1.8 (95% CI = 1.3–2.5) or 1.3 (95% CI = 1.2–1.6), respectively. A recent meta-analysis based on genotyping studies (19 studies) showed that GSTM1 deficiency conferred a 1.13-fold increase in the risk of lung cancer (95% CI = 1.04–1.25) (94). Pooling the 4 studies that were based on phenotyping methods, the OR was higher (OR = 2.12, 95% CI = 1.43–3.13) than those based on genotyping methods. The studies published before 1998 are not shown in Table B because of the existence of these two meta-analyses.

Individuals deficient in the GSTM1 have been reported to be at high risk for smoking related lung cancer (86, 95–98). Smokers with the GSTM1 enzyme have approximately one-third of the risk for lung carcinoma of smokers without the enzyme (95, 99, 100). A recent study also suggested that the effect of the null GSTM1 gene on susceptibility to lung cancer may be more evident in heavy smoker patients (101) whereas others found no significant association of the GSTM1 null genotype with lung cancer (102–104).

Individuals with the GSTTI null genotype have been reported to have a nonsignificant risk for lung cancer (101, 102, 104–109) while a statistically significant OR of 1.41 for the GSTTI null genotype was reported by Spitz et al. (103).

There is less information on the role of the GSTP1 gene as a cancer risk modifier. Given that GSTP1 is the most abundant isoform in the lungs (110), it is anticipated to be of particular importance in the detoxification of inhaled carcinogens. Six published studies have reported that GSTP1 polymorphism in exon 5 did not increase the risk of lung cancer (102, 109, 111–114).

Since the GSTM1 and GSTTI genes are involved in the detoxification of tobacco-smoke-derived carcinogens, individuals with concurrent lack of these genes are expected to be at particular risk of developing lung cancer. A significant association was also observed for concurrent lack of the GSTM1 and GSTTI genes and susceptibility to squamous cell carcinoma (109). For that cell type, the risk was 2.3-fold (95% CI = 1.0–5.3) when compared with that of individuals having other genotype combinations. In contrast, that genotype combination did not affect the risk for other histological types of lung cancer. Kelsey et al. (106) and Kiyohara et al. (102) also showed significant increased ORs for the association of lung cancer and the presence of both null polymorphisms. However, these findings are in contrast to these of previous studies, in which no association between the concurrent lack of these genes and susceptibility to lung cancer was observed (105, 107–109). The significant increased risk for lung cancer has appeared for individuals with concurrently high levels of smoking and the combined GSTM1 and GSTTI null genotypes compared with those with at least one null and low levels of smoking (108).

Epidemiological studies examining the protective association of cruciferous vegetables within smoking strata have had mixed results. Isothiocyanates (ITCs) are nonnutrient compounds in cruciferous vegetables with anticarcinogenic properties. ITCs are effective inhibitors of tumorigenesis in animal model systems (115). One proposed mechanism for their protective action is through direct inhibition of their catalytic activities, with induction of phase II enzymes. ITCs are potent inhibitors of NFKB metabolism (116). The protective effect of ITCs was seen primarily among individuals with homozygous deletion of GSTM1 (OR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.20–0.63) and particularly with deletion of both GSTM1 and GSTTI (OR = 0.28, 95% CI = 0.13–0.57) (117). A large joint effect of both null genotypes and low ITC intake (OR = 0.18, 95% CI = 0.06–0.58) was observed by Spitz et al. (103).

The biological plausibility of the protective effect of GST through its conjugating activity is compelling. However, for a polymorphism as prevalent as the GSTM1 null genotype, any overriding influence on the process of carcinogenesis should have been easily detectable in molecular epidemiologic studies, but the findings described above are less than compelling. The findings do suggest that the GSTM1 null genotype and the concurrent lack of GSTM1 and GSTTI are modestly associated with susceptibility to lung cancer. Although some of the inconsistencies reported in the role of GST genotypes in lung cancer risk could be due to unexpected confounding from dietary factors such as ITCs (diet-gene interaction), the overall role of GST polymorphisms in modifying the lung cancer risk may therefore be more limited than has been so far anticipated.

5. Combination of susceptibility genotypes

PAHs require metabolic activation by phase I enzymes to their ultimate forms (reactive intermediates) and these intermediates are then subjected to detoxification by phase II enzymes. Thus, genetically determined susceptibility to lung cancer may depend on the metabolic balance between phase I and phase II enzymes. It is important to identify individuals genetically who are at high risk of lung cancer in terms of polymorphisms of genes for encoding phase I enzymes and phase II enzymes. Nakachi et al. (117) reported that individuals with genotype C combined with null GSTM1 were at significantly high risk with an OR of 16.00 (95% CI = 3.76–68.02) for squamous cell carcinoma, at a low dose level of cigarette smoking. In a similar manner, the role of the combined genotypes CYP1A1 and GSTM1 as a possible moderator of smoking related lung cancers was studied in relation to the tobacco smoke level in Japanese patients aged below 70 years with squamous or small cell carcinomas of the lung (30). Among male smoking patients, the overall proportion of the GSTM1 null genotype was slightly higher than among healthy male smoker controls (56.7% versus 48.1%, P = 0.17). Little difference was observed between smoker patients and corresponding controls in the overall frequencies of genotype C (16–18%). However, when subjects were categorized by both Msp 1 polymorphism in the CYP1A1 gene and the GSTM1 polymorphism, the GSTM1 null
genotype became markedly more expressed in patients with genotype C when compared with the corresponding smoker controls (81.3% versus 39.4%, P=0.01). When ORs were estimated using nonsmoking patients and healthy controls as a reference, the relative risk for developing lung cancer was found to increase in a cigarette dose-dependent manner across all combinations of genotypes. Furthermore, a 7- to 8-fold variation in risk was found among the various combinations; 3.2 in individuals with combined GSTM1 null and genotype C and 21.9 in those with combined GSTM1 null and genotype C when the smoking dose was high. These results suggest that individuals with genotype C are relatively resistant to tobacco-related lung cancers when combined with the GSTM1 non-null genotype, but are highly susceptible when combined with the GSTM1 null genotype.

In Caucasian populations, a combined risk of squamous cell carcinoma was indicated for patients, diagnosed before 66 years of age, carrying both the GSTM1 null genotype and a rare CYP1A1 Msp I homozygous allele (OR=3.0, 95% CI=1.2–7.2) (21). However, there were few patients with that combined genotype to analyze. The infrequency of this particular combined genotype suggests that it will not likely be applicable to screening European populations. In patients with inducible CYP1A1, the expressing GSTM1 gene appeared to have a protective effect against contracting bronchial lung cancer, since 88% of the lung tumors in this patient group were peripheral, whereas almost equal numbers of peripheral and bronchial tumors were observed in those patients lacking the gene (118). Neither the CYP1A1 Msp I heterozygous genotype alone nor the GSTM1 null genotype alone were associated with a significant increase in lung cancer risk, although having both genetic traits was associated with a 2-fold increase in risk (95% CI=1.0–3.4) (25). The presence of at least one copy of the CYP1A1 Msp I variant allele was found to be associated with a 2.4-fold (95% CI=1.2–4.7) increase in the risk of squamous cell carcinoma when this gene was considered singly and a 3.1-fold (95% CI=1.2–7.9) increase when combined with a GSTM1 deletion (119). The effect of the GSTM1 null genotype on lung cancer has not been modified by the CYP1A1 genotype in a French population (108).

The findings on combination phases I and II carcinogen bioactivation polymorphisms are controversial. Combination bioactivation polymorphisms are attractive with respect to biologically plausible susceptibility factors. The findings in Japanese population studies to date reveal high ORs for lung cancer. However, only 10 to 24% of Japanese lung cancer patients display these combination polymorphisms. For non-Asian populations, the relevance of the CYP1A1 Msp I and GSTM1 polymorphisms to lung cancer is questionable, given their low prevalence in both lung cancer and general populations. Combined CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genotypes is thus a potential predictor of genetic susceptibility to smoking-related lung cancers in populations where CYP1A1 alleles are common.

6. Other isoforms of CYP

CYP2A6 mediates 7-hydroxylation of coumarin, a component of cigarette smoke and activates several nitroasamines in tobacco smoke, including NNK (120). The catalytic selectivity of CYP2A6 appears to overlap with that of CYP2E1. The location of this isofrom is in extrahepatic tissues such as lung, nasal and pharyngeal. Four variants have been identified, namely CYP2A6*2 (Ile160His, 479T>479A in exon 3), CYP2A6*3 (gene conversion between wild-type CYP2A6*1A allele and the neighboring CYP2A7), CYP2A6*4A-4D (the four deletion alleles CYP2A6 gene) and CYP2A6*5 (Gly479Val, 1436G->1436T in exon 9). The CYP2A6*2 allele detected by XcmI may be associated with reduced activity (121). Originally, the CYP2A6*3 allele identified by Dde I was expected to reduce activity because of its sequence similarity to CYP2A7, which codes for the inactive enzyme (122). Very recently, improvements have been made to the original genotyping methods (123) and CYP2A6*3 was shown to be lacking in different populations (124–126). One reason for the original misclassification turned out to be due to the very common CYP2A6*1B allele (normal enzymatic activity) exhibiting a gene conversion between the 3’-flanking regions of the CYP2A6 and CYP2A7 genes (123). Four CYP2A6*4 alleles cause a lack of enzymatic activity (123). The CYP2A6*5 allele also abolish the catalytic activity of the encoded enzyme due to a point mutation. The frequency of the major CYP2A6 alleles in healthy controls has been observed among various populations. The allelic frequencies of CYP2A6*2 were rather low in both Caucasian (2.3–3.0%) and Chinese (0–0.7%) populations, whereas the CYP2A6*4 alleles were fairly common in Chinese (8.6–15.1%) and rare in Caucasian (0.5–1.0%) (127, 128). A small study showed that a decreased risk of lung cancer (OR=0.5, 95% CI=0.1–2.1) was observed among individuals with both the CYP2A6*2 and CYP2A6*3 alleles (129). The whole gene deletion was associated with a decreased risk of lung cancer among a Japanese population (130). Likewise, individuals with at least one CYP2A6*4 allele were at a 2-fold (95% CI=1.2–3.2) increased risk of lung cancer compared with those without the CYP2A6*4 allele (128).

Members of CYP2C gene subfamily are constitutively expressed. CYP2C9 metabolizes PAH-type tobacco carcinogens such as BP (2) and catalyzes the hydroxylatation of several medications, including warfarin, tolbutamide and phenytoin (131). Two mutant alleles, CYP2C9*2 (Arg144Cys, 430C->430T) and CYP2C9*3 (Ile359Leu, 1075A->1075C) have been described (132) and appear to decrease activity (133). Thus, these allelic variants may decrease the risk of lung cancer although individuals homozygous for either CYP2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3 are relatively uncommon (134). A slightly increased risk of lung cancer has been observed with the presence of the CYP2C9*2 allele among Caucasians (135, 136), whereas the CYP2C9*3 allele was not significantly associated with a decreased lung cancer risk (132, 136). Although the functional significance in vivo of both allelic variants has been demonstrated for drug metabolism, CYP2C9*2 for warfarin (137) and CYP2C9*3 for tolbutamide (131), there is no evidence to address whether either allele influences CYP2C9 activity for BP metabolism. It is possible that they might differentially influence activity towards BP and thus lung cancer risk. There is some biological plausibility to less efficient activation of PAHs such as BP in tobacco smoke by the mutant genotypes, but any difference of in the patterns of association observed for CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 alleles in relation to lung cancer risk are compatible to chance.

CYP2C19 activities have been exemplified by mephenytoin hydroxylation (138, 139) and the PM phenotype is explained to a great extent by two mutant alleles (140), CYP2C19*2 (681G->681A, a mutation in exon 5 causing an aberrant splice site) and CYP2C19*3 (636G->636A, a point mutation in exon 4 introducing a premature stop codon). The CYP2C19*2 is mainly found in Asians (140). The combined CYP2C19 genotypes
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Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death in the developed countries. It has been hypothesized that an individual's susceptibility to cigarette smoking-related lung cancer is, in part, conferred by the balance between the capacity to activate inhaled procarcinogens to ultimate carcinogens by phase I enzymes, CYPs, and to detoxify them by phase II enzymes, GSTs. Most show polymorphism and have been suggested to contribute to individual cancer susceptibility as genetic modifiers of cancer risk. Altered phenotypes and genotypes in CYP1A1, CYP2D6 and CYP2E1 and in defective GSTM1 have been discussed with increased risk of developing lung cancer. Although some studies suggested a relation between lung cancer and the occurrence of a rare allele in these polymorphisms, at present, none of the polymorphic sites determined in the CYP genes can yet be used as markers for increased risk of lung cancer. Lung cancer was weakly associated with the GSTM1 polymorphism in a meta-analysis. The lung cancer risk was markedly increased only in Japanese populations who carried simultaneously susceptible genotypes in CYP1A1 and GSTM1.

Future research in this field, where we should consider referring to cancer development as a truly complex phenomenon and consider the possibility that the statistical methods for referring genetic variants to cancer risk may need thorough re-thinking, might focus on the establishment of new polymorphic sites in genes coding for xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes CYP1A2, CYP2A and CYP3A subfamilies, several of which are capable of converting a number of procarcinogens to reactive metabolites. Furthermore, by combining genotypes from different genes of interest (e.g., tumor suppressor genes, genes coding for DNA repair enzymes), the identification of high risk groups can be made more specific and reveal factors of importance in cancer development.
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