Assessment of Safety/Risk vs. Public Health Concerns: Aflatoxins and Hepatocarcinoma

Frank C. LU

Consulting Toxicologist, Miami, Florida, U.S.A.

Abstract

Hepatocellular carcinoma, (HCC) is a serious health problem. It is prevalent in certain parts of the world where food contamination with aflatoxin is common. Aflatoxin, especially AFB$_1$, has been shown to induce HCC in many species of laboratory and wild animals, including subhuman primates. Carcinogenesis studies have demonstrated that AFB$_1$ is a potent genotoxic carcinogen. After bioactivation it may covalently bind with protein and with DNA. The former reaction is positively correlated with AFB$_1$ exposure, and the latter signifies initiation of the carcinogenesis process.

With these biomarkers, epidemiological studies have amply demonstrated the etiological role of aflatoxin in HCC. However, hepatitis B virus also contributes to the development of HCC. Risks and VSD (virtual safe dose) (virtual safe dose) have been estimated from animal and epidemiological studies. These estimates further confirm that AFB$_1$ is a potent carcinogen. Furthermore, the effects of AFB$_1$ exposure and hepatitis B are synergistic. Some preventive measures, such as lowering the contamination level of AFB$_1$ in food and appropriate vaccination programs, have been implemented in many parts of the world. Chemopreventive agents, which may abolish or reduce the effects of AFB$_1$ are being tested for their effectiveness.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a serious public health problem especially in certain parts of the world, such as southeast Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. For example, in the People's Republic of China the incidence of HCC was 300,000 deaths per million cancer deaths.

These high incidences have been attributed partly to food contaminated with aflatoxins. These toxins are produced by certain species of the fungus Aspergillus. The foodstuffs most often contaminated are corn and ground nuts and their products.

Aflatoxins first attracted attention because of the turkey "X" disease. The disease was so named because in 1960 there was an outbreak of a peculiar disease in turkeys. Those birds having been fed with moldy ground nuts, showed severe hepatic lesions. HCC was observed in some of them. The toxins were chemically characterized and were designated aflatoxins.

There are several types of aflatoxins: aflatoxin B$_1$ and B$_2$ are blue in color, and aflatoxin G$_1$ and G$_2$ are green. Aflatoxins B$_2$ and G$_2$ are dihydroxylated derivatives of B$_1$ and G$_1$. In addition, there are aflatoxins M$_1$ and M$_2$, which are hydroxylated derivatives of B$_1$ and B$_2$ and are found in the milk of cows fed contaminated fodder. Of these related chemicals, aflatoxin B$_1$ (AFB$_1$) is the most common and biologically most active. IARC has judged it to be a human carcinogen.

Thousands of studies have been done on aflatoxins to elicitate their carcinogenicity in animals and selected human populations and their relation to human HCC. However, AFB$_1$, being the most potent and most prevalent, was the center of attention.

Aflatoxin Carcinogenesis

There are two types of carcinogens: genotoxic and nongenotoxic (or epigenetic). AFB$_1$ is a genotoxic agent; its mode of action has been extensively reviewed. Aflatoxin is inactive until enzymatically activated to form aflatoxin-8,9-epoxide. In other words, it is converted from a procarcinogen to one ultimate carcinogen.

The ultimate carcinogen may be covalently bond to a macromolecule. When the molecule is serum albumin, a lysine adduct is formed. When the macromolecule is DNA, a molecule of AFB$_1$-DNA adduct may be formed. The cell will then become an initiated tumor cell.

The initiated tumor cell may be dormant for a long time. On the other hand, it may become a differentiated neoplasm upon promotion by a promoting agent. As AFB$_1$ is a complete carcinogen, further biochemical changes may convert the neoplasm to a cancer, through a process of conversion and progression.
The active AFB1-DNA adduct has been identified as 8,9-dihydro-8-(N'-guanyl)-9-hydroxy-AFB1 (AFB1-N'-gua) (8, 9). The DNA adduct is excreted in the urine and has been used in many AFB1 studies in animals and humans as a quantitative indicator of exposure to aflatoxin (10).

In the complete genotoxic carcinogenesis, there is evidence that the p53 tumor suppressor gene is involved in about half of human cancers (11). Humans exposed to aflatoxins showed p53 mutations (12, 13, 14).

Although nongenotoxic carcinogens do not alter the genetic material; they promote the growth of cells initiated by genotoxic carcinogens. The mechanisms of action include (1) stimulation of cell proliferation through cytotoxicity or hormonal effects; (2) inhibition of intercellular communication, thereby releasing the initiated cells from the restraint exerted by the surrounding normal cells; and (3) immunosuppression. Additional details of the mechanisms of carcinogenesis and some examples of nongenotoxic carcinogens and their mode of action previously reported by Williams and Weisburger (15), Lu (16) and Wang and Groopman (10). One of the nongenotoxic carcinogens is ethanol. It potentiates the AFB1 carcinogenesis (17).

**Epidemiology**

Since the first report of serious liver toxicities in turkeys resulting from exposure to aflatoxins as noted above, many studies have been carried out in a great variety of laboratory and wild animals. Hepatic cellular carcinoma (HCC) was observed in most of these animals. Thus Carnaghan (18) reported that 11 ducks fed aflatoxin at 30 ppm in the diet developed HCC. Svoboda et al. (19) reported that 3 of 6 Fischer rats fed aflatoxin at 1.0 ppm in the diet developed HCC. Wogan (20) compiled the results of many studies on various species of animals, including rats, mice, subhuman primates, birds and fishes. The animals with the exception of certain mouse strains, developed HCC.

In light of the extreme potency of aflatoxin in inducing HCC in various species of animals, it became obvious that there might be a connection between the high incidence of human HCC in those parts of the world where food contamination with aflatoxin was noticeable. As a result, many epidemiological studies were conducted to determine the incidence of HCC and the levels of aflatoxins in the food. In the earlier studies, the intake was estimated from chemical analysis of prepared meals or staple foods. The results of 20 such studies were compiled by Bruce (21). He noted that the estimated intakes were the highest in China, followed in decreasing order: Mozambique, Swaziland, Thailand and Kenya.

For various reasons, the data on the levels of aflatoxins were considered imprecise. Therefore, later studies relied on relevant biomarkers of exposure to aflatoxin. The most often used biomarker was a tumor suppressor gene p53. It is the most commonly mutated gene detected in human cancers. There was a high frequency of G→T transversions of p53 in codon 249 (12).

Another biomarker of aflatoxin exposure is urinary AFB1-N′-guanine adduct. The amounts released in 24-hour urine are positively correlated with the exposure to AFB1 and liver DNA adducts formed in exposed rates (22).

In addition, other biomarkers such as aflatoxin-albumin adduct has also been used, e.g., Wang et al. (23). This is an adduct of aflatoxin with serum albumin. It is not an indicator of mutation, but a fairly reliable one for exposure.

It was increasingly apparent that, as epidemiological studies continued, additional etiological risk factors, such as hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) became known to be involved. HBV and HCV have now been considered human liver carcinogens by IARC, (24). The matter is further complicated by the fact that in many regions of the world where there is heavy aflatoxin contamination, infections with HBV and HCV are also prevalent. It is helpful in epidemiological studies that hepatitis B and C viruses provide surface markers HBVAg and HCVAg in the patients and carriers.

Eaton and Gallagher (25) summarized the findings from thirty studies on the status of p53 and HBV positivity in 631 subjects from many geographical regions. The authors observed a positive correlation between levels of exposure to aflatoxin and the occurrence of HCC among those with or without HBV infection.

Wang et al. (26) reported on 56 cases of HCC patients and 220 healthy controls matched by age, gender and residence. The authors found a synergistic effect between HBV and aflatoxin. Thus, among HBVAg carriers the incidence of HCC was significantly higher among those with higher levels of exposure to aflatoxin as determined either by aflatoxin-albumin adducts or by urinary aflatoxin metabolites. In addition, carriers of HBVAg showed a significantly increased risk for HCC.

In a more recent article (27) analyses were made on the p53 with G→T transversions in codon 249 in patients living along the Gambia river. In that region, the food is considered to be often contaminated with aflatoxins. The results suggest that 19 (36%) of the 53 HCC patients were positive for this biomarker. On the other hand, this was true only in 3 (6%) of 16 cirrhosis patients and none (0%) of 60 non-Gambian Europeans with various liver pathologies. Hence, the authors considered that the results suggest aflatoxin as a risk factor for HCC.

**Risks/VSD**

Risk is defined as the expected frequency of an adverse effect arriving from exposure to a specific pollutant. A number of mathematical models have been developed to estimate the risk at a specific exposure level based on the observed dose-response relation. Alternatively, one may estimate the dose at a specified risk level. When the specified risk level is very low, such as 10⁻⁵ or 10⁻⁶, the dose associated with it is known as the "virtual safe dose", (VSD).

On the basis of a study in rats, the Food Safety Council (28) estimated the VSD at a risk of 10⁻⁶, using five models. The VSDs in ppm and the models used, in parenthesis, are as follows: 3.4×10⁻² (one-hit), 7.9×10⁻⁴ (multistage), 4.0×10⁻² (Weibull), 0.28 (multihit), and 2.5 (probit).

In recent years more epidemiological findings, which are more reliable for estimating risk/VSD, have become available. Thus, Hoseyni (29) estimated, that the risk of death from liver cancer for those exposed to AFB1 to the unexposed population, increases by 0.05% per ng/kg/day exposure to AFB1. The results also suggest a 25-fold increase in the risk of death from liver cancer among those infected with hepatitis B virus, relative to noncarriers.

Groopman (30), after analyzing the findings reported by Ron et al. (31) concluded that there was a 5-fold increase in the relative risk for HCC cases with detectable AFB1-N′-guanine. The patient who tested positive for HBVAg also showed a relative risk of...
about 5. It is remarkable that the relative risk was greater than 60 for those with detectable AFB-N'-guanine and HBV Ag. Thus, there was a strong synergism between aflatoxin and hepatitis B.

The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives and Contaminants (32) concluded that the "potency value" would be 0.3 cancers/year per 100,000 population per ng aflatoxin/kg bw per day in HBV Ag+ individuals. Among those with HbsAg individuals, the potency value would be 0.01 cancers/100,000 population per ng aflatoxin/kg bw per day. Thus, the Committee's assessments suggest a strong synergism between aflatoxin and hepatitis.

Discussions

HCC is a serious public health problem especially because of its poor prognosis. Exposure to AFB$_1$, as noted above, is a definite etiological factor. Numerous studies in animals and humans have shown that it is an extremely potent genotoxic carcinogen with a distinct tendency to affect the hepatocytes, thereby inducing HCC.

However, there are other etiological factors. Especially notable are hepatitis B virus infections and to a lesser extent, hepatitis C virus. In fact, there appears to be a strong synergism between HBV and aflatoxin (33, 34).

To reduce the prevalence of HCC, a number of measures have been implemented; others are being tested for effectiveness. For example, improved food processing and storage have been or are being put into place to minimize food contamination by aflatoxins. Government standards have been introduced setting upper limits for contamination. For example, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has set "Action Levels" for aflatoxin at 20 ppb in nuts and foods (35).

In addition, the effectiveness of certain chemoprotective agents are being tested. For example, olitipraz [4-methyl-5(2-pyrazinyl)-1,2-dithiol-3-thione] is an effective inhibitor of AFB$_1$-mediated hepatocarcinogenesis in rats. It was tested in a project in Qidong, China. The results suggest that small daily doses or large weekly doses of olitipraz increased the excretion of aflatoxin. (36). Selenium has been shown to inhibit aflatoxin carcinogenesis in the rat (37).

Since hepatitis is also a risk factor of HCC, systematic vaccination has been practiced in a number of countries. More recently, Oda (38) reviewed the "vertical transmission" of hepatitis B virus in Japan, and a nationwide selective vaccination strategy using anti-HBs immunoglobulin and HB vaccines was being considered.

Conclusions

1. Aflatoxin B$_1$, a fungal contaminants of nuts and certain other foods, is a potent genotoxic carcinogen. It induces hepatocarcinoma (HPP) in many species of animals and humans.
2. HCC is a serious disease. To combat this public health problem, governmental and industrial measures are being taken to minimize the aflatoxin contamination of foodstuffs.
3. As hepatitis B, and to a lesser extent hepatitis C, viruses are also etiological factors of HCC, immunizations against these infections are being expanded.
4. Chemopreventive agents against AFB$_1$-induced HCC are being studied for their effectiveness.
5. The multi-pronged approach to the prevention of HCC may soon greatly diminish this public health problem.
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